
Q1 Overall, how did this CLE meet your expectations? Was it...




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
A lot better than expected	14.81%	4
Better than expected	51.85%	14
About what I expected	22.22%	6
Worse than expected	11.11%	3
A lot worse than expected	0.00%	0
TOTAL		27

#	BELOW, PLEASE ENTER ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING SPECIFIC SESSIONS AT THE CLE:	DATE
1	Ben Roybal's presentation was not comprehensible. No problem with other speakers	9/25/2017 2:42 PM
2	price is out of hand	9/25/2017 8:38 AM
3	NM Tax update - good to know about the taxation of guaranteed payments; Fed Tax update - speaker was great and good info on a number of useful areas; Tax Court session was a lot better than expected because it provided an overview of a unique court system. Judge Halpern is a very good speaker; session on alternative legal services is very important for attorneys to understand; session on ethical considerations by Bill Sleaze was also important and very interesting. This topic area is only marginally relevant to my practice area but I'm glad I attended.	9/24/2017 12:14 PM
4	I only attended the first three sessions and each speaker was very good and very prepared	9/22/2017 6:04 PM
5	First speaker was good but spoke too fast and did not follow his handout materials. Ms. Ayala seem to have very little to say that was on topic. Mr. Ornelas was obviously well-versed in his subject but his delivery was labored.	9/22/2017 5:22 PM
6	really appreciate the webcast; well done	9/22/2017 5:03 PM
7	All sessions were extremely informative; the new partnership audit rules was too much information for the time allotted.	9/22/2017 5:01 PM

8 Great speakers 9/22/2017 4:24 PM

Q2 Was this CLE relevant to your practice area?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	88.89%	24
No	11.11%	3
TOTAL		27


Evaluation - 2017 Tax Symposium

Q3 Why wasn't this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 3 Skipped: 24

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I'm a CPA and there was some relevant information but not all of it. The 2nd to last presenter (from 2:30 - 3:30) was probably the least useful. His presentation viewgraphs and his overall presentation was not what I would expect from a CLE training course.	9/24/2017 3:29 PM
2	I don't practice in tax law. Mostly employment and labor law and general business law to a lesser extent.	9/24/2017 12:14 PM
3	I am not practicing law and when I did I was a prosecutor. However, I want to keep my license up. this CLE while not related to my practice was very informative and I enjoyed the three sessions I attended.	9/22/2017 6:05 PM


Q4 How useful was the content presented?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely useful	18.52%	5
Very useful	62.96%	17
Somewhat useful	11.11%	3
Not so useful	7.41%	2
Not at all useful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		27

#	IN WHAT WAY?	DATE
1	I think concurrent sessions one on fed. law and one on state law and then a repeat so attendees would not have to hear the presentation on fed law if it was inapplicable to them. The tax court judge's presentation was very good.	9/25/2017 2:45 PM
2	Update on Tax Court practice and update on state tax developments are directly relevant to my practice.	9/25/2017 12:46 PM
3	See earlier comments on individual sessions	9/24/2017 12:16 PM
4	Just for general knowledge. I also enjoyed learning about the tax court from the senior judge.	9/22/2017 6:06 PM
5	Relevant state and federal tax updates; alternative legal service providers portion presented different perspectives	9/22/2017 5:02 PM

Q5 Did the content of this session meet the stated learning objectives?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	85.19%	23
No	14.81%	4
TOTAL		27

Q6 In what way did this CLE fail to meet your learning goals?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 23

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	uneven quality of the presenters Aimless presentation of esoteric subjects.	9/25/2017 8:38 AM
2	I was expecting more on the overall tax environment. I was very disappointed	9/24/2017 3:30 PM
3	I can't find any stated learning objectives. I came because I needed the CLE credits and the topics were somewhat relevant to what I do. Very few CLEs are relevant to what I do.	9/24/2017 12:18 PM
4	I was not aware of stated learning objectives so I can't say they were met.	9/22/2017 7:52 PM

Q7 Please rate the effectiveness of each faculty member:




	EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE	VERY EFFECTIVE	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	NOT SO EFFECTIVE	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Benjamin Roybal	53.85% 14	38.46% 10	7.69% 2	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	26	4.46
Bruce McGovern	57.69% 15	38.46% 10	3.85% 1	0.00%	0.00% 0	0.00%	26	4.54
Hon. James Halpern	76.92% 20	19.23% 5	3.85% 1	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	26	4.73
Ed Hymson	32.00% 8	28.00% 7	28.00% 7	8.00% 2	0.00%	4.00% 1	25	3.88
Shavon Ayala	15.38% 4	23.08% 6	26.92% 7	23.08% 6	3.85% 1	7.69% 2	26	3.25
Laurence Donahue	24.00% 6	32.00% 8	24.00% 6	12.00% 3	0.00%	8.00% 2	25	3.74
Bill Slease	50.00% 13	34.62% 9	7.69% 2	0.00%	0.00%	7.69% 2	26	4.46
Oscar Ornelas	22.22% 6	18.52% 5	25.93% 7	18.52% 5	7.41% 2	7.41% 2	27	3.32

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	Mr. Hymson's topic was so technical that it wasn't understood by most participants. Ms. Ayala's participation - I still don't know what point she was trying to make. Mr. Donahue, same thing.	9/25/2017 12:49 PM
2	Not sure which was which but they were all very good and interesting	9/23/2017 10:14 PM

3 I only heard the first three presenters and they were all excellant

9/22/2017 6:15 PM

Q8 How would you like to receive information about future CLE programs?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	21.74%	5
CLE Website	21.74%	5
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	30.43%	7
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	26.09%	6
Bar Bulletin Calendar	17.39%	4
E-mail from State Bar	69.57%	16
Facebook	0.00%	0
Twitter	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 23		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	The more ways the better.	9/22/2017 6:15 PM

Q9 In what ways can the Center for Legal Education improve your learning experience?

Answered: 6 Skipped: 21


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Try to have some interaction with the attendees. I do not know if a mock argument on a significant issue could be organized.	9/25/2017 3:12 PM
2	Provide bibliographies or references to related resources for every presentation topic. Real learning happens when you are exposed to something useful and topical area experts provide outside resources you can use for study afterwards. Cost of CLEs is an issue. Expending the same amount of money on publications and other resources would be more effective for me.	9/24/2017 12:25 PM
3	I attended a webinar last week and this streaming broadcast today. Both were very well done. Living in Southern NM it is important to have "live" CLE's where driving to ALBQUE is not required.	9/22/2017 6:15 PM
4	Thank you for extending this seminar to CPA's. The information was very relative and I have not seen or read some of the items presented; very helpful and timely education. The webcast made attendance very convenient and was very well presented.	9/22/2017 5:06 PM
5	none	9/22/2017 5:04 PM
6	Keep offering a variety of courses.	9/22/2017 4:55 PM

Q10 What other topics and presenters would you like to see at future CLE programs?

Answered: 5 Skipped: 22


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I's like to hear from judges as to the good way and the less than good way to present a case.	9/25/2017 3:12 PM
2	Longer time for state developments. It is a main part of practice in NM and it is given very short coverage each year.	9/25/2017 12:49 PM
3	More business related CLEs	9/24/2017 12:25 PM
4	No comment	9/22/2017 6:15 PM
5	Not for profit legal and tax issues	9/22/2017 5:24 PM

Q11 I prefer the following types of learning methods (please see descriptions below):



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Lecture: university-style talk to a large audience, using visual aids	76.92%	20	
Large Group Discussion: open forum which allows audience to pose questions to presenter(s)	30.77%	8	
Small Group Discussion: breakout sessions where attendees form small groups moderated by faculty	11.54%	3	
Case Study: a lecture which centers around a specific case or cases relevant to the topic	15.38%	4	
Role Play: interactive, audience participation-based scenarios such as a mock trial		0	
Total Respondents: 26			

Q12 The Center for Legal Education is an accredited course provider through the New Mexico State Bar Foundation. How likely are you to attend a future Center for Legal Education course?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely likely	61.54%	16
Very likely	30.77%	8
Somewhat likely	3.85%	1
Not so likely	0.00%	0
Not at all likely	3.85%	1
TOTAL		26